

Shropshire

Personal Details:

Name: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Organisation Name: (Member of the public)

Comment text:

Related subject: Church Pulverbatch and Burnell

Disagree with the proposal to move Church Pulverbatch from Burnell ward to Bishops Castle which makes no sense. There are already strong existing links to other Burnell parishes; Longden, Smethcott and Condover, plus adjacent parish of Pontesbury, including schools (most Pulverbatch children attend Longden school and Mary Webb in Pontesbury) and churches, i.e. the benefice of Great Hanwood, Longden and Annscroft with Pulverbatch. There are no existing links with Bishops Castle including public transport, Longden and Church Pulverbatch have the same issue dealing with potentially damaging changes to reducing its rural bus service. There are also shared resource for Speedwatch, a joint issue in both parishes.

Bishops Castle and Church Pulverbatch are 14 miles, this would be detrimental to Church Pulverbatch which will end up at arms length and distanced from the ward, particularly those residents on the boundary edge of the parish in Longden and Habberley. How can electors be confident with an elected member who potentially has no knowledge or interest in the area?

Keep Burnell Rural.

It also makes no sense to merge Bayston Hill with Burnell. An urban ward clearly have very different issues to rural ward. How do residents have confidence in an elected member having sympathy with both very differing issues?

Church Pulverbatch should remain part of the rural Burnell ward

Attached Documents:

None attached